

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/237,125	01/26/99	PADMANABAN		М	1997/A006
		IM62/0801	一	EXAMINER	
ANDREW F. SAYKO JR				ASHTON, R	
CLARIANT CORPORATION				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
70 MEISTER AVENUE SOMERVILLE NJ 08876				1752	5
				DATE MAILED:	08/01/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/237,125

Applicant(s)

\_

Padmanaban et al.

Examiner

Rosemary Ashton

Group Art Unit 1752



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 24, 2000					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to ex is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims					
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
🔀 Claim(s) 1	is/are rejected.				
	is/are objected to.				
Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement					
Application Papers					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	view, PTO-948.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	to by the Examiner.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.				
$\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	e priority documents have been				
received.					
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number					
received in this national stage application from the Inte					
*Certified copies not received:					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
■ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ■ TTD     ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD     ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD     ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD     ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD     ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD     ■ TTD     ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD    ■ TTD     ■ TTD    ■ TTD     ■ TTD	_				
	2				
<ul><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li><li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li></ul>					
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/237,125

Art Unit: 1752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

1. The examiner withdraws the restriction requirement made by Examiner Barreca and responded to in the paper filed May 24, 2000. All claims have been examined.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The last line of claim 1 on page 43 has a "," before the word "phenyl group" which leads to confusion. It appears this is a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeBergalis cited on applicant's IDS.

Applicant's invention is directed to a radiation sensitive composition comprising a monomer having formula I.

Application/Control Number: 09/237,125

Art Unit: 1752

DeBergalis teaches a radiation sensitive composition comprising a monomer which meets the limitations of formula I. As shown in col. 6, compound 10 meets the limitations of formula I when R1 is a C2 alkylene, X is O, Y is O and D is a substituted phenyl group.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 2-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be . 5. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
  - The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: 6. Claims 2-27 are directed to copolymers comprising the formulas in claim 1. The prior art does not teach a copolymer having formula II as in claim 1 used in a radiation sensitive or ARC composition, a method of using the composition or a method of making the copolymer as claimed.

DeBergalis teaches copolymerizing the monomer having formula I with acrylic monomers, however, the monomers are not the same as those claimed in the instant application.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 7. disclosure.

Rauterkus et al. cited on applicant's IDS teaches a monomer meeting the limitations of formula I in col. 4, line 50, formula (I), however, it is not used in a radiation sensitive or ARC composition.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/237,125

Art Unit: 1752

With respect to the Fahey reference cited on applicant's IDS the examiner notes applicant

did not include the full article with relevant Figures missing. Fahey teaches a copolymer having a

monomer of an anthracene acrylate as shown in Scheme 2, page 440 and a copolymer having a

monomer of N-anthracene maleimide in a copolymer with maleic anhydride as shown in Scheme

1, page 438. These copolymers to do not read on the copolymers claimed by applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8.

should be directed to R. Ashton whose telephone number is (703) 308-2057 or to Supervisory

Examiner J. Baxter whose telephone number is (703) 308-2303.

July 31, 2000

Rosemary Ashton Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1752